Public Document Pack

NORTH HERTFORDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

CABINET

TUESDAY, 30TH JULY, 2019

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

Please find attached supplementary papers relating to the above meeting, as follows:

Agenda No Item

7. <u>ITEMS REFERRED FROM OTHER COMMITTEES</u> (Pages 3 - 6)

Any Items referred from other committees will be circulated as soon as they are available.

7a - Council Plan and Council Objectives

7b - Waste Collection from Multi Occupancy Properties



CABINET 30 JULY 2019

*PART 1 - PUBLIC DOCUMENT

ITEM 7a

TITLE OF REPORT: ITEM REFERRED FROM OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: 16 JULY 2019 - COUNCIL PLAN 2020 - 2025 AND COUNCIL OBJECTIVES 2020 - 2025

The Leader of the Council presented the report entitled Council Plan 2020-2025 and Council Objectives 2020 – 2025 together with the following appendix:

Appendix A - Draft Council Plan 2020-2025 Outline.

He advised that they would not be not asking Cabinet to adopt a Plan at the next meeting, but were starting a process.

Due to the change if Administration, the all Members workshop had been postponed.

The paper being considered was a top-level document which included the 5 main objectives:

- Be a more welcoming and inclusive council;
- Building thriving and resilient communities;
- Responding to challenges to the environment;
- Enabling an enterprising and co-operative economy;
- Supporting the delivery of good quality and affordable housing.

Cabinet would take into account any comments from this Committee.

Each Executive and Deputy Executive Member had been asked to consider what should be incorporated into the Plan from their area.

The all Member workshop would take place on 18 September 2019 where the Executive Members would present the priorities for their area and the view of Members would be taken into account.

An additional Cabinet meeting in October would then consider the Plan, which would them be considered by Council in November 2019.

He was indebted to the Policy and Community Engagement Manager for his work on this Plan.

The following Members commented on the Plan:

- Councillor Tony Hunter;
- Councillor David Levett.

Comments included:

- The objectives may be difficult to achieve as the Council had to work within legal frameworks;
- Budgetary issues probably have an impact on delivery of some of the objectives, particularly those regarding housing; $Page\ 3$

- In respect of Supporting Parishes and Neighbourhood Plans, the Government was no longer providing funding for this;
- Notes this was Policy led budgeting, but there was some caution that plans and actions be within the means of the council.

The Leader of the Council acknowledge that some of the area would be difficult to achieve, but they aimed to do whatever they could. Housing was a difficult area, but there was a need and much of the work would be undertaken with Housing Associations.

RESOLVED: That the report entitled Council Plan 2020-2025 and Council Objectives 2020 – 2025 be noted:

RECOMMENDED TO CABINET: That the comments above be taken into consideration during the process of drawing up and adopting the Council Plan and Council Objectives.

REASON FOR DECISION: To enable the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to consider the report entitled Council Plan 2020-2025 and Council Objectives 2020 – 2025 prior to consideration by Cabinet.

(To be considered with Item 9 of the agenda)

CABINET 30 JULY 2019

*PART 1 - PUBLIC DOCUMENT

ITEM 7b

TITLE OF REPORT: ITEM REFERRED FROM OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: 16 JULY 2019 - WASTE COLLECTION FROM MULTI OCCUPANCY PROPERTIES (MOP)

The Executive Member for Recycling and Waste presented the report entitled Waste Collection from Multi Occupancy Properties (MOP) together with the following appendix:

Appendix A - Urbasers financial claim to retain weekly collections except recycling.

The Executive Member for Recycling and Waste advised that the figure, highlighted in yellow, in recommendation 2.2 of the report should read £163,947.44 per annum.

The section, highlighted in yellow, in section 5.3 of the report should be deleted as this would not be included in the report to be presented to Cabinet.

Cabinet was not being asked to look at the history of this contract, however she was aware that Overview and Scrutiny may wish to ask questions.

There had been a decision to postponed phase two of the contract. Having looked at comprehensive data, collated by officers, it was shown that 61 percent of these properties were not suitable for fortnightly collections.

The alternatives were considered such as the costs of fortnightly collections and the reputational issues of doing this.

The additional costs had been fully costed.

The following Members made comments and asked questions:

- Councillor David Levett;
- Councillor Kate Aspinwall;
- Councillor Sam Collins;
- Councillor Tony Hunter.

In response to questions the Service Director Place referred Members to Paragraph 7.1 of the report. At the time the contract was let the Council was in receipt of £850.000 of DCLG funding for the collection of weekly food waste. Officer pulled together a report to support harmonising of collections from Multi Occupancy Properties, however, with hindsight there wasn't sufficient data.

The tender was based on moving to fortnightly collection of residual waste but, due to the initial issues, the contractors delayed implementation.

Officers noticed that further data was required prior to implementing fortnightly residual collections, however the contractor tendered on this basis.

Not moving to fortnightly collections meant that Urbaser could not make the savings they were expecting as part of the contract.

As it was Urbaser's choice to delay moving to fortnightly collections, they absorbed the costs for 14 months.

In respect of paragraphs 9.3, 9.4 and 7.3, the tender process needs to be considered and a written response provided regarding the question posed by Councillor Aspinwall.

The Executive Member for Waste and Recycling advised that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee planned review of the Waste Contract may be best placed to looked at any issues regarding the contract and procurement issues.

The Chairman advised that the planned Task and Finish Group on Waste would take place once the current Task and Finish Group had been completed.

RESOLVED: That the Service Director – Place be requested to provide a written answer to the question raised by Councillor Kate Aspinwall regarding the contract.

RECOMMENDED TO CABINET: That the recommendations contained in the report be endorsed.

REASON FOR DECISION: To enable the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to consider the report entitled Waste Collection from Multi Occupancy Properties (MOP) prior to consideration by Cabinet.

(To be considered with Item 14 of the agenda)